

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A computerized method for assigning observations comprising:

receiving a plurality of first observations  
5 indicative of respective physical parameters observed by  
a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second  
observations indicative of respective physical parameters  
observed by a second sensor system;

assigning, by a computer, a set of pairs of the  
10 first and second observations predicted to correspond to  
the same physical parameter, the assigning comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost  
for each assigned pair, the cost not independent of  
the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the  
15 set of assigned pairs; and

determining the set of assigned pairs  
corresponding to an optimal value for the cost  
function by calculating, by the computer, a  
corresponding optimal value for a directed graph  
20 representative of possible assignments of first and  
second observations.

2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the directed  
graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an  
25 assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising  
a root node, wherein each node except for the root node  
has an associated input arc representing an assignment  
decision and wherein the length of each input arc is  
representative of a change in an assignment score  
30 resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the  
plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

3. The method of Claim 1, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.

4. The method of Claim 1, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

5. The method of Claim 1, wherein the cost function is

$$J_s = -\bar{x}R^{-1}\bar{x}^T - \sum_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{\delta x_i S_i^{-1} \delta x_i^T + \ln \|S_i\|}{\bar{g}} - \ln(d_{\min}) \begin{matrix} a(i) \neq 0 \\ a(i) = 0 \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ln([2\pi]^M |R|) & n_a = 0 \\ 0 & n_a > 0 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

wherein

$J_s$	=	Assignment score
$\bar{x}$	=	Estimate of relative bias
$R$	=	Relative registration covariance matrix
$\delta x_i$	=	State vector difference = $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \bar{x}$
$S_i$	=	Residual error covariance for pair $A_i$ and $B_{a(i)}$
$d_{\min}$	=	Minimum determinant of a residual error matrix
$\bar{g}$	=	Gate value
$M$	=	Number of first observations
$n_a$	=	Number of non-zero entries in a
$a$	=	Assignment vector: $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$ is assigned to $B_{a(i)}$
$A_i$	=	The plurality of first observations
$B_{a(i)}$	=	The plurality of second observations assigned to $A_i$

6. The method of Claim 5, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.

5        7. The method of Claim 5, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a functional bias.

8. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a processor operable to  
10 execute a computer program stored on a computer readable medium.

9. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by an application specific  
15 integrated circuit.

10. The method of Claim 1, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a digital signal processor.

11. A computerized method for determining the N-best observation assignments comprising:

receiving a plurality of first observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by  
5 a first sensor system and receiving a plurality of second observations indicative of respective physical parameters observed by a second sensor system;

determining, by a computer, one or more sets of pairs of the first and second observations predicted to  
10 correspond to the same physical parameter, the determining comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost for each assigned pair;

determining a set of assigned pairs  
15 corresponding to an optimal value for the cost function by calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of corresponding first and second observations; and

20 repeating the determining act until a desired number of best observation assignments is determined.

12. The method of Claim 11, wherein the cost  
25 specified by the cost function for each assigned pair is not independent of the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the respective set of assigned pairs.

13. The method of Claim 11, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

14. The method of Claim 11, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.

15. The method of Claim 11, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

16. The method of Claim 11, wherein the cost function is

$$J_s = -\bar{x}R^{-1}\bar{x}^T - \sum_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{\delta x_i S_i^{-1} \delta x_i^T + \ln|S_i|}{\bar{g}} - \ln(d_{\min}) \right\} \begin{matrix} a(i) \neq 0 \\ a(i) = 0 \end{matrix} + \begin{cases} \ln([2\pi]^M |R|) & n_a = 0 \\ 0 & n_a > 0 \end{cases}$$

wherein

$J_s$	=	Assignment score
$\bar{x}$	=	Estimate of relative bias
$R$	=	Relative registration covariance matrix
$\delta x_i$	=	State vector difference = $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \bar{x}$
$S_i$	=	Residual error covariance for pair $A_i$ and $B_{a(i)}$
$d_{\min}$	=	Minimum determinant of a residual error matrix
$g$	=	Gate value
$M$	=	Number of first observations
$n_a$	=	Number of non-zero entries in a
$a$	=	Assignment vector: $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$ is assigned to $B_{a(i)}$
$A_i$	=	The plurality of first observations
$B_{a(i)}$	=	The plurality of second observations assigned to $A_i$

17. The method of Claim 16, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.

18. The method of Claim 16, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a functional bias.

19. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a processor operable to execute a computer program stored on a computer readable medium.

Attorney's Docket No.  
BB No. 064749.0141  
Client No. PD 02E002

PATENT APPLICATION

38

20. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by an application specific integrated circuit.

- 5        21. The method of Claim 11, wherein assigning, by a computer, comprises assigning by a digital signal processor.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

22. A system for assigning observations comprising:  
a computer readable medium; and  
a computer program stored on the computer readable  
medium, the computer program operable, when executed on a  
5 processor, to:

receive a plurality of first observations  
indicative of respective physical parameters  
observed by a first sensor system and receiving a  
plurality of second observations indicative of  
10 respective physical parameters observed by a second  
sensor system;

assign a set of pairs of the first and second  
observations predicted to correspond to the same  
physical parameter, the assignment comprising:

15 receiving a cost function that specifies a  
cost for each assigned pair, the cost not  
independent of the assignment of any other  
assigned pairs in the set of assigned pairs;  
and

20 determining the set of assigned pairs  
corresponding to an optimal value for the cost  
function by calculating, by the computer, a  
corresponding optimal value for a directed  
graph representative of possible assignments of  
25 first and second observations.

23. The system of Claim 22, and further comprising  
a processor operable to execute the computer program.

24. The system of Claim 22, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node  
5 has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

10

25. The system of Claim 22, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the  
15 optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.

26. The system of Claim 22, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of  
20 first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

27. The system of Claim 22, wherein the cost function is

$$J_s = -\bar{x}R^{-1}\bar{x}^T - \sum_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{\delta x_i S_i^{-1} \delta x_i^T + \ln|S_i|}{\bar{g}} - \ln(d_{\min}) \begin{matrix} a(i) \neq 0 \\ a(i) = 0 \end{matrix} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ln([2\pi]^M |R|) & n_a = 0 \\ 0 & n_a > 0 \end{matrix} \right\}$$

wherein

$J_s$  = Assignment score

$\bar{x}$  = Estimate of relative bias

$R$  = Relative registration covariance matrix

$\delta x_i$  = State vector difference =  $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \bar{x}$

$S_i$  = Residual error covariance for pair  $A_i$  and  $B_{a(i)}$

$d_{\min}$  = Minimum determinant of a residual error matrix

$\bar{g}$  = Gate value

$M$  = Number of first observations

$n_a$  = Number of non-zero entries in a

$a$  = Assignment vector:  $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$  is assigned to  $B_{a(i)}$

$A_i$  = The plurality of first observations

$B_{a(i)}$  = The plurality of second observations assigned to  $A_i$

28. The system of Claim 27, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.

29. A system for assigning observations comprising:  
a computer operable to receive a plurality of first  
observations indicative of respective physical parameters  
observed by a first sensor system and receiving a  
5 plurality of second observations indicative of respective  
physical parameters observed by a second sensor system;  
and

wherein the computer is further operable to assign a  
set of pairs of the first and second observations  
10 predicted to correspond to the same physical parameter,  
the assignment comprising:

receiving a cost function that specifies a cost  
for each assigned pair, the cost not independent of  
the assignment of any other assigned pairs in the  
15 set of assigned pairs; and

determining the set of assigned pairs  
corresponding to an optimal value for the cost  
function by calculating, by the computer, a  
corresponding optimal value for a directed graph  
20 representative of possible assignments of first and  
second observations.

30. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer  
comprising an application specific integrated circuit.

25

31. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer  
comprises a processor operable to execute a computer  
program stored on the computer readable medium.

32. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer  
further comprises the computer readable medium.

33. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a digital signal processor.

5        34. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a field programmable gate array.

10       35. The system of Claim 29, wherein the computer comprises a means for computing.

15       36. The system of Claim 29, wherein the directed graph comprises a plurality of nodes each representing an assignment hypothesis, the plurality of nodes comprising a root node, wherein each node except for the root node has an associated input arc representing an assignment decision and wherein the length of each input arc is representative of a change in an assignment score resulting from the assignment decision, wherein the plurality of nodes are grouped in a plurality of stages.

20       37. The system of Claim 29, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the  
25       optimal value by a network shortest path algorithm.

30       38. The system of Claim 29, wherein calculating, by the computer, a corresponding optimal value for a directed graph representative of possible assignments of first and second observations comprises calculating the optimal value by a Dijkstra algorithm.

39. The system of Claim 26, wherein the cost function is

$$J_s = -\bar{x}R^{-1}\bar{x}^T - \sum_{i=1}^s \left\{ \frac{\delta x_i S_i^{-1} \delta x_i^T + \ln[S_i]}{\bar{g}} - \ln(d_{\min}) \right\} \begin{matrix} a(i) \neq 0 \\ a(i) = 0 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} \ln([2\pi]^M |R|) & n_a = 0 \\ 0 & n_a > 0 \end{matrix}$$

wherein

$J_s$	=	Assignment score
$\bar{x}$	=	Estimate of relative bias
$R$	=	Relative registration covariance matrix
$\delta x_i$	=	State vector difference = $A_i - B_{a(i)} - \bar{x}$
$S_i$	=	Residual error covariance for pair $A_i$ and $B_{a(i)}$
$d_{\min}$	=	Minimum determinant of a residual error matrix
$g$	=	Gate value
$M$	=	Number of first observations
$n_a$	=	Number of non-zero entries in a
$a$	=	Assignment vector: $a_{(i)} > 0 \rightarrow A_i$ is assigned to $B_{a(i)}$
$A_i$	=	The plurality of first observations
$B_{a(i)}$	=	The plurality of second observations assigned to $A_i$

40. The system of Claim 39, wherein the relative bias,  $\bar{x}$ , of the cost function is a simple bias.